

#### Governor's Conference Room and Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device <u>Click here to join the meeting</u> Or call in (audio only) <u>+1 701-328-0950,808079802#</u> United States, Fargo Phone Conference ID: #

Meeting Coordinators: Catelin Newell - Dir. Admin Services & IT, Kate Schirado - Exec. Assistant

#### = Board Action Requested

#### 1. Call to Order – Chairman

A. Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance

B. Consideration of Approval of <u>May 29, 2025 Land Board Meeting Minutes</u> by voice vote. – minutes available via link

#### 2. **Operations – Joseph Heringer**

A. Commissioner's Report – pg. 2

B. Financial Dashboard – pg. 3

#### 3. **Division Reports – Joseph Heringer**

A. Surface – pg. 12

B. Minerals - pg. 13

C. Unclaimed Property – pg. 15

D. Financials for period ending March 31, 2025 – financials available via link

#### 4. Investments – Frank Mihail, CIO

- A. Investment Update pg. 17
- B. Investment Consultant Contract pg. 22

#### 5. Special Projects – Joseph Heringer

A. IT Project Presentation – pg. 33

#### 6. Litigation Update – Joseph Heringer – pg. 36

- Executive session under the authority of NDCC §§ 44-04-19.1 and 44-04-19.2 for attorney consultation with the Board's attorneys to discuss:
  - State of North Dakota ex. rel. v Virginia Leland, et. al.
  - Royalty Settlements



RE: Commissioner's Report (No Action Requested)

#### **Special Mention Events**

- <u>State Investment Board Investment Committee</u> attended June 13 & July 11, 2025, meetings as Vice Chair
- State Investment Board Securities Litigation Committee chaired June 17, 2025, meeting
- <u>Capitol Grounds Planning Commission</u> per request of the Commission, the Department gave a presentation on the Capitol Building Fund during the Commission's June 25, 2025, meeting

#### Human Resources

 <u>Unclaimed Property Compliance Officer</u> – new position provided by the Legislature; posted with hopes to have filled in August/September

<u>Geological Survey Rare Earth Minerals Project</u> – Members of the North Dakota Geological Survey will be visiting our office on July 16, 2025, to provide an update on their study of rare earth minerals on Trust Lands. We plan to have them present at a future Land Board meeting as well.

## COMMON SCHOOLS TRUST FUND (CSTF) OVERVIEW



### CSTF ASSET BALANCE as of 03/31/2025 (unaudited)

### <u>\$7,485,563,472</u>

+\$688 million year-over-year from 03/31/2024 balance of \$6.87 billion





## COMMON SCHOOLS TRUST FUND 2023-25 (CSTF) DISTRIBUTIONS

N O R T H Dakota | Trust Lands Be Legendary.<sup>™</sup>

Monthly Distribution to the State Tuition Fund for the 2023-25 Biennium \$27,770,000 Multiplied by 9 months per year =\$250,000,000 Divided by 115,740 students = \$2,160/student per year

North Dakota Cost to Educate Per Student 75.7% State Funding Share \$2,160 CSTF per Student Annual Distribution

\$13,778/year =\$10,430 =**21% of state funding share** 



## COMMON SCHOOLS TRUST FUND 2025-27 (CSTF) DISTRIBUTIONS



Monthly Distribution to the State Tuition Fund for the 2025-27 Biennium \$32,500,000 Multiplied by 9 months per year =\$292,500,000 Divided by 116,598 students = \$2,508/student per year

North Dakota Cost to Educate Per Student 75.7% State Funding Share \$2,508 CSTF per Student Annual Distribution \$13,778/year =\$10,430 =**24% of state funding share** 



COMMON SCHOOLS TRUST FUND 2023-25 (CSTF) DISTRIBUTIONS



# **Current Biennium Distributions to the State Tuition Fund through 06/30/2025**

\$500 million of \$500 million total

## **CSTF Distributions Since FY 2014**

# **\$2 BILLION OF PROPERTY TAX RELIEF!**



## COMMON SCHOOLS TRUST FUND (CSTF) OVERVIEW





## STRATEGIC INVESTMENT & IMPROVEMENT FUND (SIIF) OVERVIEW

### DCKOTC Be Legendary.<sup>™</sup>

NORTH

### SIIF BALANCE as of 02/28/2025 (unaudited)

- Total Balance \$1,605,093,583
- Uncommitted Balance \$1,302,151,676

### SIIF BALANCE as of 03/31/2025 (unaudited)

- Total Balance \$1,692,697,150
- Uncommitted Balance <u>\$1,415,825,323</u>



### **SIIF QUARTERLY BALANCE HISTORY (UNAUDITED)**

## STRATEGIC INVESTMENT & IMPROVEMENT FUND (SIIF) HIGHLIGHTS







State General Fund	
\$230N	۸)
Social Services Fund	
\$2	50M
Stabilization Fund           \$75M         Currently at cap, receives no oil allocations	
State General Fund	
\$230	M
Lignite Research Fund \$10M	AMOUNT ALLOCATED
State Disaster Relief Fund \$8.5M	
Strategic Investment & Improvement	s Fund (SIIF)
	\$400M
Public Employees Retirement Fund \$65M	
Non-oil Producing Political Subdivisi	ion Infrastructure Funds
Municipal \$115M Cty Township \$115/	M
Airport Infrastructure Fund \$20M	
Strategic Investment & Improvement	s Fund (SIIF)
\$229.81	M Remainder

## ESTIMATED TOTAL NET ASSETS as of 02/28/2025



Mineral Tracker Valuation as of October 31, 2024, on 2.6 million Mineral Acres \$2,461,271,622





**Estimated Total Net Assets\*** 

as of March 31, 2025





\* Total excluding SIIF

Page 011

## SURFACE DIVISION ENCUMBRANCES ISSUED



Encumbrances issued by the Commissioner: 21 Right of Way Agreements in May generated a total of \$428,565 in income for the Trusts.

June had 23 Right of Way agreements generating \$1,820,159 in initial ROW payments. <u>The highest one month</u> initial ROW payments in ND Trust Land history!



## MINERALS DIVISION FISCAL YTD O/G ROYALTIES



As of May 31, 2025\*, for fiscal year 2024-25 the Department has received **\$421,788,582** in royalties as compared to **\$471,797,311** last fiscal year at this time.

### **ROYALTIES - CASH COLLECTED**



\*May royalty revenue is from March gas production and April oil production.

## PRICE MAIN DRIVER OF O/G ROYALTIES

In the early years production growth was the driver of the Department's royalty increases. Now that our net monthly production has been more stable, averaging 457,543 barrels per month over the past twelve months, the price of oil & gas is the main driver of monthly royalty variations.

Dakota

Be Legendary."

Trust Lands



Page 014 Oil Royalties — Volume — Price

### UNCLAIMED PROPERTY DIVISION https://unclaimedproperty.nd.gov



For the month of May 2025, the Division paid 1436 claims with \$1,218,110 returned to rightful owners.

The Division also received 87 holder reports with a dollar value of \$188,760.



### TOTAL DOLLAR VALUE OF CLAIMS PAID



#### TOTAL VALUE OF PROPERTY REPORTED



# UNCLAIMED PROPERTY DIVISION

https://unclaimedproperty.nd.gov



For the month of June 2025, the Division paid 2803 claims with \$860,994 returned to rightful owners.

The Division also received 49 holder reports with a dollar value of \$222,018.





TOTAL VALUE OF PROPERTY REPORTED



### BOARD OF UNIVERSITY AND SCHOOL LANDS JULY 17, 2025

Report as of 06/30/2025





Fixed Income Absolute Return Real Assets Equity

Asset 🗢	Total Value 🖨	% Of All Value 🖨
All 💌	8,183,477,410	100%
Equity 🕨	3,049,777,264	37%
Fixed Income	2,751,299,020	34%
Absolute Return 🕨	1,258,677,036	15%
Real Assets Page 01	7 1,123,724,090	14%

### BOARD OF UNIVERSITY AND SCHOOL LANDS JULY 17, 2025





Target Range Portfolio Allocation Target Lower Range Upper Range

Asset 🗢	Total Value 🖨	% Of All Value 🖨	Target Weight 🖨	Over/Under % 🖨	Over/Under \$ 🖨
All (MTD) 🔻	8,183,477,410	100%	100%	0%	
Equity 🔻	3,049,777,264	37.27%	38%	-0.73%	-59,944,152
Private Equity 🕨	275,108,186	3.36%	8%	-4.64%	-379,570,007
Broad International Equity 🕨	1,383,589,382	16.91%	15%	1.91%	156,067,771
Broad US Equity	1,391,079,696	17%	15%	2%	163,558,084
Fixed Income 🔻	2,751,299,020	33.62%	30%	3.62%	296,255,797
Cash / (Leverage)	-161,614,236	-1.97%	-5%	3.03%	247,559,634
Private Credit 🕨	1,247,535,764	15.24%	20%	-4.76%	-389,159,718
Public Credit 🕨	1,665,377,493	20.35%	15%	5.35%	437,855,881
Absolute Return	1,258,677,036	15.38%	15%	0.38%	31,155,425
Real Assets 🔻	1,123,724,090	13.73%	17%	-3.27%	-267,467,070
Infrastructure 🕨	407,780,940	4.98%	7%	-2.02%	-165,062,479
Real Estate 🕨	715,943,150	Page 018 8.75%	10%	-1.25%	-102,404,591

### BOARD OF UNIVERSITY AND SCHOOL LANDS JULY 17, 2025



#### Report as of 06/30/2025

### Flash Performance Report

Unaudited preliminary estimates



1Y : Net Of Fee Txs : Cumulative Return

	MTD	QTD	YTD	FYTD	1Y
	Net of Fees Txs				
Asset 🗢	Cumulative Return 🖨				
Total Portfolio 🔻	1.86%	5.09%	6.56%	10.99%	10.99%
Equity 🔻	3.59%	11.08%	11.64%	17%	17%
Broad International Equity 🕨	3.28%	14.15%	21.39%	20.62%	20.62%
Broad US Equity 🕨	4.66%	9.96%	4.2%	14.02%	14.02%
Private Equity 🕨	0%	0.06%	0.71%	7.46%	7.46%
Fixed Income 🔻	0.84%	1.13%	3.52%	6.74%	6.74%
Private Credit 🕨	0.36%	1.07%	2.92%	9.47%	9.47%
Public Credit 🕨	1.27%	1.16%	4.18%	3.57%	3.57%
Absolute Return	1.18%	3.31%	5.06%	12.01%	12.01%
Real Assets 🔻	0.39%	0.36%	1.55%	4.09%	4.09%
Infrastructure 🕨	1.09%	0.99%	2.71%	8.01%	8.01%
Real Estate 🕨	0% Page 01	9 0%	0.9%	2.05%	2.05%

## BOARD OF UNIVERSITY AND SCHOOL LANDS JULY 17, 2025



#### Report as of 06/30/2025

T

### Uncalled Commitments



Uncalled Commitments

Asset 🗢	Commitment (\$M) <b>\$</b>	Funded Commitment (\$M) <b>\$</b>	Uncalled Commitments (\$M) <b>\$</b>
All (MTD) 🔻	4,091	3,035	1,055
TPG Angelo Gordon 🕨	350	250	100
Ares 🕨	300	100	200
GCM Grosvenor 🕨	580	193	387
Hamilton Lane 🕨	50	29	21
Khosla Ventures 🕨	35	25	10
Monarch 🕨	120	94	26
Blue Owl 🕨	125	89	36
a16z 🕨	35	11	25
Industry Ventures 🕨	50	5	45
Pantheon 🕨	100	14	86
Blackstone 🕨	Page 020 120		120

## BOARD OF UNIVERSITY AND SCHOOL LANDS JULY 17, 2025



#### Report as of 06/30/2025

### Liquidity Waterfall



Measures how long it would take to liquidate the entire portfolio





# **Investment Consultant Search** July 17<sup>th</sup>, 2025

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



- Investment consultant contract expiring August 31, 2025
- 12-year relationship with RVK began in 2013
- Competitive search process was conducted (investment management services procurement exempt from RFP under NDCC 54-44.4-02)
- Goal to ensure Land Board is receiving industry-leading consulting services at a competitive price
- Staff recommends renewing contract with RVK

## PURPOSE





Reassess consultant relationship to ensure alignment with evolving investment goals



Evaluate firms with expertise across both public and private markets



Validate that current and future consultant fees remain competitive by surveying the broader market



Objective: Select a long-term partner aligned with our mission and fiduciary duty

## TIMELINE







8 Firms Contacted:	6 Submitted Proposals
Callan	2 Declined
Cambridge	All responses evaluated using consistent rubric
Meketa	
Mercer	Key Evaluation Areas & Weighting
NEPC	Minimum Qualifications – Pass/Fail
RVK	Conflicts of Interest – 20 pts
Verus	<ul> <li>Firm Overview &amp; Consulting – 20 pts</li> <li>Alternative Investments – 20 pts</li> </ul>
Wilshire	<ul> <li>Reporting &amp; Technology – 20 pts</li> </ul>
	Structure & Fees – 20 pts

## SCORING METHODOLOGY





### Final Average Questionnaire Scores By Firm (Out of 100)

## FINALIST INTERVIEWS

- Structured interviews conducted with 2 finalists
- Scored across 10 qualitative questions on a 1–10 scale
- Topics included team structure, manager search, reporting, and research access
- RVK received the highest average score across all reviewers









### Staff recommends RVK for the following reasons

- Fund Familiarity: Extensive knowledge of the Fund's priorities, policies, and portfolio evolution built over a multi-year partnership.
- Independent & Conflict-Free: 100% employee-owned; no asset management or manager fees.
- **Strategic Advisor:** Trusted partner on asset allocation, due diligence, governance, and independent reporting to the Board.
- **Broad Expertise:** Strong across public and private markets with scalable support.
- Enhanced Support: Custom reporting, stable and experienced team, and costeffective engagement.



- Headquarters: Portland, Oregon
- Founded: 1985

**RVK OVERVIEW** 

- **Ownership:** 100% employee-owned
- Independence: No proprietary products or revenue from managers
- **Client Base:** 200+ institutional clients (public funds, endowments, foundations, and retirement systems)
- AUM Advised: \$3.2+ trillion
- **Staff:** 160+ professionals, including 45 consultants
- Consultants Assigned to ND:
  - Josh Kevan, CFA CEO, Senior Consultant, Principal (Joined 2005)
  - Jennifer Sandberg Senior Consultant, Principal (Joined 2006)



Term: 3-years beginning Sep 1, 2025 with optional 2-year extension

## Services Highlights:

- Performance analysis and reporting
- Quarterly in-person meetings
- Capital markets research and client education
- Asset allocation analysis and investment policy review
- Pacing studies for private markets commitments
- Investment manager search, selection and monitoring
- Industry research and public fund peer analysis



# The Board approve renewing RVK's investment consulting contract for three years, with an optional additional two-year extension.

Action Record	Motion	Second	Ауе	Nay	Absent
Secretary Howe					
Superintendent Baesler					
Treasurer Beadle					
Attorney General Wrigley					
Governor Armstrong					

# LARGE IT PROJECT OVERVIEW

Project Statistics



The 68<sup>th</sup> legislative assembly appropriated \$4.9 million in capital asset funding for additional enhancements to our Land Management System (LMS) along with the development necessary for online payment processing and full customization of our customer portal. The dedicated effort from Trust Lands staff allowed for this project to close out on time, in June of 2025, and under budget with approximately \$500,000 unspent.



# LARGE IT PROJECT OVERVIEW

Internal and External Customer Wins

### N O R T H Dakota | Trust Lands Be Legendary.<sup>™</sup>

### Land Management System (LMS)

### **Data Accessibility**

- Unified system integrated with the accounting system as well as taking 4 separate legacy software applications between surface and minerals combining into one
- Ability to toggle between associated records with user friendly data queries
- Shareable custom system views to utilize between staff
- Weblinks to share that quickly send team members directly to a record
- Portal applications are integrated with LMS data with certain updates now automated based off team approvals of customer applications
- Configurable staff are able to update fees/calculations, some datasets, and letterhead names without additional development/customization
- Simplification of document generation process
- Soils database for surface division

### Communication

- Automated internal and external email notices/processes
- Significantly improved billing creation, visibility, & tracking in the system as opposed to manually tracking in Excel
- Workflow timelines that allow staff to visibly track and act on records at various stages
- Remote accessibility for team members now that software is cloud based ex. surface auction data is up to date as the auction occurs



# LARGE IT PROJECT OVERVIEW

### Internal and External Customer Wins





### **Customer Portal**

- •Centralized Applications & Royalty Reporting
- •Online payments! For the first time Trust Lands' customers may pay by echeck or credit card.
- •Customers have increased visibility of public records without needing to create an account. This increased visibility was designed with the intent of reducing public information requests.
- •Customers may sign in and view "My Records" pertaining directly to their history with Trust Lands. Records include payment history, leases, applications, royalty reports and right of way projects.
- •Royalty operators receive a formal receipt upon successfully submitting a royalty report. If the royalty report has issues, the customer can export the report with rows highlighted if they have an error.

Demos – Catelin Newell – Online Payment, Adam Otteson – Royalty Reporting, Joseph Stegmiller – Surface Tract detail with Soils Data Page 035



#### RE: Litigation Update

(No Action Requested)

#### • Mandan, Hidatsa, and Arikara Nation v. United States Department of the Interior

**<u>Case Summary:</u>** Missouri riverbed ownership – Quiet title action brought by the federal government is proceeding, with discovery now completed; the U.S. and MHA filed separate summary judgment motions; our opposition brief was filed by the March 18, 2025 deadline; the U.S. filed its reply brief on April 18<sup>th</sup>; we now await the Court to either schedule a hearing on the motion or decide on the briefs; additionally, on February 28, 2025, the Department of Interior Acting Solicitor suspended the Biden administration's M-Opinion that was contrary to the state's position.

Commencement:	July 2020
ND Assigned Attorneys:	James Auslander, Kathryn Tipple, Peter Schaumberg, and Nessa Coppinger (Beveridge & Diamond, Washington, D.C.) Matthew Sagsveen, AG Dir. of Natural Resources and Native American Affairs
Counsel for MHA:	Steven D. Gordon (Holland & Knight's Washington, D.C.) Philip Merle Baker-Shenk (Holland & Knight's Washington, D.C.) Timothy Purdon (Robins Kaplan, Bismarck, ND) Timothy Billion (Robins Kaplan, Minneapolis, MN)
Counsel for United States Department of Interior:	Reuben S. Schifman (Washington, D.C.)
Court:	United States District Court for the District of Columbia
Judge:	Honorable Amy Berman Jackson

Win = North Dakota owns historical Missouri Riverbed (mineral rights) through Fort Berthold Indian Reservation resulting in release to state of tens of millions of dollars in withheld oil & gas royalties.

Lose = U.S. owns the riverbed in trust for MHA Nation so royalties are released to the tribe

#### • State of North Dakota, ex. rel. v Virginia Leland, et.al.

**Case Summary:** OHWM river island ownership; trial was held September 12-16, 2022; Judge Schmidt issued a Phase I Memorandum Decision on April 30, 2024, finding 1) the at issue Yellowstone River segment was navigable at statehood; 2) the at issue west bank of the river is owned by the state; 3) the at issue north island is not owned by the state; 4) the at issue south island is owned by the state; and 5) the state's claim is not barred by laches. The remaining issues of conveyances, mineral acreage calculations, etc. are now being determined in Phase II proceedings. On December 19, 2024, the Court granted opposing parties' summary judgment motion on certain issues. A trial to determine the remaining issues was held January 29, 2025, in Watford City. On May 8, 2025, the Court issued its trial decision ruling that: 1) the State does not own the North Island; 2) the 1950 deed from the State conveyed the at issue lots to the

## BOARD OF UNIVERSITY AND SCHOOL LANDS JULY 17, 2025



Montana state line; and 3) the State only reserved a 5% mineral interest in the 1950 deed. Whiting will now calculate each owner's fractional interest and net acreage in the at issue parcels, after which a final judgment will be entered. Parties will then have 60 days to appeal to the ND Supreme Court.

Commencement:	January 2016
ND Assigned Attorneys:	Zachary Pelham (Pearce Durick, Bismarck)
	Matthew Sagsveen, AG Dir. of Natural Resources and Native American Affairs James Wald, DTL General Counsel
Counsel for Whiting Oil and Gas Corp:	Paul Forster (Crowley Fleck, PLLP, Bismarck, ND) Shane Hanson (Crowley Fleck, PLLP, Bismarck, ND)
Counsel for Defendant(s):	Kevin Chapman (Chapman Law Firm, P.C., Williston, ND) Ariston Johnson (Johnson & Sundeen, Watford City, ND) and Others
Court:	State District Court, McKenzie County
Judge:	Honorable Robin Schmidt

Win = State owns at issue Yellowstone River islands and related mineral interests

Lose = Plaintiffs owns at issue Yellowstone River islands and related mineral interests

#### • <u>Continental Resources, Inc., v. North Dakota Board of University and School Lands</u> and the United States of America (Interpleader)

**Case Summary:** OHWM fed/state dispute – ND Federal District Court issued opinion March 21, 2023, granting Board's motion for partial summary judgment on "Acquired Federal Lands" issue; this means the Wenck survey controls for establishing the historical ordinary high-water mark of the Missouri River in areas where the uplands were acquired by the federal government, and not original "public domain lands"; the federal government appealed; 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit oral argument was held October 22, 2024; the 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit issued its decision on May 2, 2025, affirming the District Court's ruling; the federal government has until mid-July to request an en banc rehearing.

Commencement:	December 2016
ND Assigned Attorneys:	Philip Axt, ND Solicitor General
Counsel for Continental:	Lawrence Bender (Fredrikson & Byron P.A., Bismarck, ND) Spencer Ptacek (Fredrikson & Byron P.A., Bismarck, ND)
Counsel for USA:	Shaun Pettigrew (Environment and Natural Resources Division (ENRD)) of the U.S. Department of Justice
Court:	United States District Court, District of North Dakota
Judge:	U.S. District Judge Daniel L. Hovland

#### Procedures for Executive Session Regarding Attorney Consultation and Consideration of Closed Records

#### <u>Overview</u>

- 1) The governing body must first meet in open session.
- 2) During the meeting's open session the governing body must announce the topics to be discussed in executive session and the legal authority to hold it.
- 3) If the executive session's purpose is attorney consultation, the governing body must pass a motion to hold an executive session. If executive session's purpose is to review confidential records a motion is not needed, though one could be entertained and acted on. The difference is that attorney consultation is not necessarily confidential but rather has "exempt" status, giving the governing body the option to consult with its attorney either in open session or in executive session. Confidential records, on the other hand, cannot be opened to the public and so the governing body is obligated to review them in executive session.
- 4) The executive session must be recorded (electronically, audio, or video) and the recording maintained for 6 months.
- 5) Only topics announced in open session may be discussed in executive session.
- 6) When the governing body returns to open session, it is not obligated to discuss or even summarize what occurred in executive session. But if "final action" is to be taken, the motion on the decision must be made and voted on in open session. If, however, the motion would reveal "too much," then the motion can be abbreviated. A motion can be made and voted on in executive session so long as it is repeated and voted on in open session. "Final actions" DO NOT include guidance given by the governing body to its attorney or other negotiator regarding strategy, litigation, negotiation, etc. (See NDCC §44-04-19.2(2)(e) for further details.)

Recommended Motion to be made in open session:

Under the authority of North Dakota Century Code Sections 44-04-19.1 and 44-04-19.2, the Board close the meeting to the public and go into executive session for purposes of attorney consultation regarding:

- State of North Dakota ex. rel. v. Virginia Leland, et. al.
- Royalty Settlements

Action Record	Motion	Second	Aye	Nay	Absent
Secretary Howe					
Superintendent Baesler					
Treasurer Beadle					
Attorney General Wrigley					
Governor Armstrong					

#### **Statement Before Leaving Public Meeting:**

"This executive session will be recorded and all Board members are reminded that discussion during executive session must be limited to the announced purpose for entering into executive session, which is anticipated to last approximately 15 minutes.

Board members, their staff, employees of the Department of Trust Lands and counsel with the Attorney General staff will remain, but the public is asked to leave the room.

The executive session will begin at: \_\_\_\_\_AM, and will commence with a new audio recording device. When the executive session ends the Board will reconvene in open session."

#### Statements upon return to open session:

State the time at which the executive session adjourned and that the public has been invited to return to the meeting room.

State that the Board is back in open session.

State that during its executive session, the Board consulted with attorneys regarding the identified legal issue.

State that no final action will be taken at this time as a result of the executive session discussion

-or- .

Ask for a formal motion and a vote on it.

Move to the next agenda item.